SIR MIKE PITT
CHIEF EXECUTIVE
OF THE PLANNING INSPECTORATE
TEMPLE QUAY HOUSE
2, THE SQUARE
BRISTOL
BS1 6PN

YOUR REF ER/72/043251/11

MY REF WC/1/3/40

RE- EMAIL FROM MARIA DARBY 19-12-11

SIR MIKE PITT.
I WRITE TO YOU AS YOU ARE THE CHIEF EXECUIVE OF THE PLANNING INSPECTORATE, ALTHOUGH I HAVE EMAILED AND WRITTEN TO YOUR COLEAGUES MANY TIMES ON THIS MATTER.

MAY I ALSO TAKE THIS OPPORTUNITY TO ALERT YOU TO OTHER CORRESPONDENCE, WHICH ADDRESSES THIS MATTER AND HAS BEEN FORWARDED TO HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN?

I AM INVOLVED IN MANY COMMON LAND ISSUES THROUGHOUT ENGLAND AND WALES, AND PERSONS IN THE SAME SITUATION OBJECTING TO COMMON LANDS BEING STOLEN BY COUNCILS AND OTHERS.

NATURAL ENGLAND AND THE MALADMINISTRATION OF YOUR COLEAGUES [KATRINA SPORLE AND GINA WARMAN] OF THE PLANNING INSPECTORATE ARE ENSURING THAT SUCH THEFT OF COMMON LANDS ARE MADE EASY.

COUNCILS, AND THE “CHARITY” BUTTERFLY CONSERVATION, WHICH I WISH TO BRING TO YOUR ATTENTION, APPLIED FOR RETROSPECTIVE PLANNING CONSENT TO CARRYOUT WORKS ON WHITCHURCH HEATH COMMON CL21.

THIS COMMON HAS AN AKA, “PREES HEATH” BUT HAS NO, CL No REGISTRATION, HOWEVER, KATRINA SPORLE AND GINA WARMAN GAVE CONSENT FOR WORKS ON A COMMON LAND THAT DOES NOT EXIST, BUT KNOWINGLY, CONSENTED TO WHITCHURCH HEATH COMMON CL21 TO BE ADDRESSED BY THE AKA PREES HEATH, FOR WHICH RETROSPECTIVE PLANNING CONSENT WAS GIVEN.

THE APPLICATION FOR WORKS WAS MADE BY ONE STEPHEN LEWIS, WHO CLAIMED THAT THE COMMON LAND WAS OWNED BY BUTTERFLY CONSERVATION THROUGH PURCHASE FROM PREES HEATH LTD.

NO ONE AT BUTTERFLY CONSERVATION WILL CONFIRM SUCH A PURCHASE WAS AFFECTED, INDEED THEY CANNOT!

EVIDENCE HAS BEEN DISCLOSED THAT PREES HEATH COMMON I S AN AKA, THAT WHITCHURCH HEATH COMMON CL21 IS THE CORRECT REGISTERED IDENTITY OF THE COMMON LAND, ALL OF THE COMMONERS RIGHTS ARE REGISTERED ON WHITCHURCH HEATH COMMON CL21.

WHITCHURCH HEATH WAS REQUISITIONED AS WHITCHURCH HEATH FOR WW1 AND WW11, PLANS SHOW THE COMMON AS WHITCHURCH HEATH.

THERE IS NO COMMON OWNERS REGISTER HELD AT SHROPSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL FOR THIS COMMON, IT IS NOT REGISTERED AS FREE HOLD OR ANY OTHER AT LAND REGISTRY.

IT WAS HOWEVER REGISTERD AS A SECTION 9 BY THE COMMONS COMMISSIONER IN 1965, AND AS A SECTION 45 IN 2006.

YET, CORRUPTLY AND FRAUDULENTLY A LAND REGISTRY DOCUMENT HAS BEEN OBTAINED FOR PREES HEATH COMMON, AND IS HELD BY STEPHEN LEWIS, NOT AS YET CONFIRMED BY THE HEADQUARTERS OF BUTTERFLY CONSERVATION TO BE HELD THERE.

IN 2005, A MEMBER OF BUTTERFLY CONSERVATION, EITHER MARTIN WARREN OR JOHN DAVIS, APPLIED TO LANDFIL TAXATION, THROUGH “GRANTSCAPE” FOR FUNDING, FOR IT WAS CLAIMED TO PURCHASE THE WESTERN SIDE OF PREES HEATH COMMON.

THE APPLICATION STATED THAT PREES HEATH LTD, WERE THE OWNERS IN FEE SIMPLE OF THE FREEHOLD TITLE TO THE COMMON LAND, AND THAT NEGOTIATIONS HAD BEEN SUCSSESFULLY CONCLUDED AND THAT A PURCHASE PRICE OF £240,000 HAD BEEN ACCEPTED.

BECAUSE IT WAS ALSO STATED THAT FURTHER MONIES WOULD BE NEEDED, THE APPLICATION FOR FUNDING WOULD NEED TO BE IN THE REGION OF £571,000, THIS THEN WAS THE AMOUNT OBTAINED FROM LANDFIL.

FROM THE START, GRANTSCAPE WERE INFORMED THAT NO SUCH CONVEYANCE COULD BE EFFECTED, AND TO CONTINUE WITH THE REQUEST FROM BUTTERFLY CONSERVATION WOULD AMOUNT TO ASSISTING MORTGAGE FRAUD TO BE COMMITTED.

BECAUSE THE APPLICATION FOR WORKS WAS MADE BY FALSE REPRESENTATION THAT WAS FRAUD ALSO [2006 FRAUD ACT].

STEVEN LEWIS, WAS/IS EMPLOYED BOTH BY BUTTERFLY CONSERVATION AND NATURAL ENGLAND, AND WAS APPOINTED TO MAKE ALL OF THE APPLICATIONS FROM THEREON IN TO DEFRA/PLANNING INSPECTORATE FOR WORKS AND TO STATE THAT BUTTERFLY CONSERVATION WERE THE OWNERS OF THAT PART OF THE COMMON AND TO BE ADDRESSED AS PREES HEATH COMMON CL21.

SO ALL APPLICATIONS FOR WORKS, MACHINERY, WORKFORCE AND OTHER MATERIALS WERE MADE BY BUTTERFLY CONSERVATION, BUT SIGNED FOR BY STEVEN LEWIS.

[I will be disclosing documentation with this letter, copies of which are already with your colleague’s, DEFRA, BC and NE.]

THE MATTER IN WHICH THE £240,000 WAS ARRIVED WAS BY COLLUSION, ENACTED BY PREES HEATH LTD, BUTTERFL Y CONSERVATION AND NATURAL ENGLAND.

THIS TO WE WAS DISCLOSED TO YOUR COLLEAGUES AFORE MENTIONED, THERE IS ALSO AN ORDER FOR DISCLOSURE MADE BY HH JUDGE EDDEN SITTING AT TELFORD COUNTY COURT, THIS WAS NEVER COMPLIED WITH, THE REASONS ARE ALSO IN DOCUMENTATION FORM AND WILL BE DISCLOSED.

BECAUSE SHROPSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL AND OWEN PATERSON MP SUPPORT BUTTERFLY CONSERVATION, SHROPSHIRE WILDLIFE TRUST, NATURAL ENGALND AND PREES HEATH LTD, THE COUNTY COURTS WILL NOT SUPPORT THE LEGISLATION ON COMMON LAND MATTERS.

THESE BILLS WERE PASSED BY PARLIAMENT, AND GIVEN ROYAL ASSENT, THEREFORE THEY ARE PART OF THE LEGAL SYSTEM TO WHICH ALL RESIDENTS IN THE UK ARE BOUND BY, BUT COURTS AND THE CONSTABULARY DO NOT SUPPORT THE PUBLIC OR THE LEGISLATION.

IN 2001 I WAS CHARGED WITH CRIMINAL DAMAGE FOR REMOVING GATES THAT IMPEDED LAWFUL ACCESS TO WHITCHURCH HEATH COMMON CL21 aka prees heath, I WAS CLEARED BY THE CROWN COURT IN SHREWSBURY, MY ACTIONS WERE NOT CRIMINAL.

IN 2002-3-4-5, I AGAIN REMOVED UNLAWFUL WORKS, SIGNAGE DITCHES AND EMBANKMENTS, THESE HAD NOT BEEN PLACED BY BUTTERFLY CONSERVATION, BUT HAD BY SHROPSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL.

HERE AGAIN, I WAS CLEARED BY HH CIRCUIT JUDGE MITCHELL OF ANY UNLAWFUL ACT OF REMOVING OBSTRUCTIONS FROM WHITCHURCH HEATH COMMON CL21, COST WERE AWARDED TO MYSELF AND THE OFFICER FOR THE “RAMBLERS”.

DEFRA AND PERSON’S NOW IN POST AT THE PLANNING INSPECTORATE ARE AWARE OF THIS AND THAT A REPRESENTATIVE FROM THE SECRTARY OF STATE HAD REFUSED CONSENT FOR ANY WORKS ON WHITCHURCH HEATH COMMON CL21.

ATTEMPTS AT HAVING INJUNCTIONS, COMMITTALS AND BANKRUPTCY IMPOSED ON ME WERE SCRATCHED OUT, MY RIGHTS TO RETURN WHITCHURCH HEATH COMMON CL21 BACK TO LOWLAND HEATH BY REMOVING ALL UNLAWFUL WORKS WAS JUDEGED AS MY RIGHTS BY HH CIRCUIT JUDGE MITCHELL SITTING AT TELFORD COUNTY COURT.

THE COUNTY COUNCILS AND DISTRICT COURT JUDGES ARE GUILTY OF FRAUD THROUGH FALSE REPRESENTATIONS, THE JUDGES ARE GUILTY OF COURT ROOM FRAUD, ABUSE OF OFFICE IS ANOTHER OFFENCE I WILL LEVEL AT THE JUDGES FOR REFUSING TO ALLOW MY LITIGATION BEFORE THE HIGH COURT IN LONDON.

JUDGEMENT, WITHOUT EVIDENCE TO SHOW LEGAL TITLE, AS IS REQUIRED WHEN REGISTERING OWNERSHIP, OR AS EVIDENCE ON WHICH WILL BE LELIED ON IN COURT, IS THE OUTCOME, AND ORDERS THAT I PAY COURT COST’S.

EVIDENCE, OR ORDERS FOR DISCLOSURE AND SUMMONS’S FOR WITTNESESS APPLIED FOR BY MYSELF, IS REFUSED, THE REASON’S FOR THAT IS THAT CLAIMS DEFRA HAS GIVEN CONSENT, BECAUSE THEY ARE SATISFIED THAT OWNERSHIP HAS BEEN PROVEN.

FORGIVE ME, BUT I TO CAN READ, WITH EACH CONSENT, A SUPPLEMENTRY IS ISSUED, WHICH PROVIDES THAT AS LONG AS THE WORKS AE NOT IN BREACH OF ANY EN-ACTMENT THEY WILL BE LAWFUL, OF COURSE DEPENDANT ON THE OFFICER, THAT SUPPLEMENT CAN BE IN A DIFFERENT FORMAT.

NOW THAT IS RUNNING WITH THE FOX AND HOUNDS [**BIAS]**

THE 65 ACT WARNS AGAINST UNLAWFUL DEVELOPMENTS/WORKS UNDER SECTION 194.

THAT ACT WAS SUBSTANTIALLY RE-ENACTED IN 2006, WHEN THE 1965 ACT WAS REPEALED AND REPLACED BY SECTION 38 OF THE 2006 COMMONS ACT

ALSO REPEALED WAS THE RIGHTS TO ENCLOSE [APPROVEMENT] OF COMMON LAND!

SECTION 219 OF THE 1285 ACT WAS REPEALED BY SECTION 47-1 OF THE 2006 COMMONS ACT.

SECTION 47-2 OF THE 2006 COMMONS ACT PROHIBITS ANY ACT OF ENCLOSURE THAT MAY SUBSIST ALONGSIDE OF THE 1285 ACT, SO WHY THE SUPPLEMENTRY?

CONSENTING TO THE WORKS APPLIED FOR BY STEPHEN LEWIS IS ENCLOSURE; IT IS ALSO CRIMINAL DAMAGE [1971-1]

THE CONSENT HAS ALSO CAUSED THE BREACHING OF THE 2000 CROW ACT, FULLY!

THE CONSENT HAS ALSO CAUSED WRONGFUL ARREST AND FALSE IMPRISONMENT OF ONE OF HER MAJESTY’S SUBJECT’S, ONE TONY BARNETT!

IN THE NAME OF BIO DIVERSITY, SAVE THE BUTTERFLY, THAT HAS SURVIVED THROUGH THE AGES, WHITCHURCH HEATH COMMON CL21 HAS LOST ALL TRACES OF NATURAL PHENOMENA THROUGH THE PLOUGH, PESTICIDES, HERBICIDES, CHAIN SAW, SLASHING HOOK AND FIRE.

NEW ROADWAY BY HARD SURFACE'S HAVE BEEN INTRODUCED FOR VEHICULAR ACCESS[1988 ROAD TRAFFIC ACT SECTION 34] THIS IS ALSO IN CONTRAVENTION OF THE 2006 COMMONS ACT PROHIBITION OF NEW VEHICULAR RIGHTS OF WAY [OR UPGRADING OF EXISTING RIGHTS OF WAY SHOWN TO BE ON A DEFINITIVE PLAN]OVER COMMON LAND.

YOUR COLLEAGUES HAVE ALL OF THE NEW ACTS AT HAND, THEY HAVE NO EXCUSE!!

THE DEMISE OF THE BUTTERFLY IS DOWN TO THE UNLAWFUL FARMING AND THE SPREADING OF POULTRY WASTE BY A FRIEND OF OWEN PATERSON MP AND OF CHRIS HOGARTH OF NATURAL ENGLAND'S SUPPORT.

COMMON HERITAGE, HAS HAD THIS FARMER REMOVED BY CHAIRMAN TONY BARNETT, HE HAS HAD TO FACE COURTS FOR ALLEGED HARASSMENT OF THE FARMER, BUT IN THE END NO MORE POULTRY WASTE

CHRIS HOGARTH WAS PAYING THIS FARMER JA&O GRIFFITH £ 2,500 PER ANUM NOT TO PLOUGH A CERTAIN WIDTH, THIS LAND, HOLDS COMMONERS RIGHTS FOR GRAZING, GRIFFITH HAD NO RIGHTS.

NOW THE ENTIRE PRODUCT FOR WHICH COMMONERS HOLD RIGHTS TO HAVE BEEN EXTINGUISHED, THIS IS WHAT NATURAL ENGLAND AND BUTTERFLY CONSERVATION WANTED.

THE LEASING OF THE COMMON BY PREES HEATH LTD WAS/IS FRAUDULENT, THE GRAZING RIGHTS WERE ON WHITCHURCH HEATH COMMON CL21, NOT PREES HEATH, THERE ARE NO PRE-REGISTRATION OF TITLES TO EITHER, THE COMMONS COMMISSIONER VESTED WHITCHURCH HEATH COMMON CL21 TO SHROPSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL AS A SECTION 9.

DEFRA AND THE PLANNING INSPECTORATE KNOW THIS, THEY KNOW THE LOCATION OF WHITCHURCH HEATH COMMON CL21, THEY KNOW IT CANNOT BE PREES HEATH COMMON, SO WHY ACCEPT THE PLANNING APPLICATION, AND WHY, GIVE CONSENT?

I HAVE WRITTEN AND EMAILD SIR DAVID ATTENBOROUGH, ALAN TITCHMARSH IN THEIR RESPECTIVE POST AS PRESIDENT AND VICE, NO RESPONSE.

I HAVE WRITTEN AND EMAILED JULIE WILLIAMS WHO IS THE COMPANY SECRETARY AND THE DIRECTOR OF FINACES AND IS ALSO THE DIRECTOR OF RESCOURCE'S, NOW IF ANY NEGOTIATIONS, PURCHASES AND REGISTRATIONS WERE TO BE MADE, WOULD IT NOT HAVE INVOLVED THOSE THREE?

SIR MIKE, FRAUD, COLLUSION AND ABUSE OF OFFICE AS A CHARITY IS ENDEMIC AT BUTTERFLY CONSERVATION CARRIED OUT BY ITS EMPLYEES/MEMBERS, BUT YOUR OFFICES ARE COMPLICIT.

THERE WILL BE A LARGE AMOUNT OF ATTACHEMENTS TO FOLLOW THIS LETTER.

HER MAJESTY QUEEN ELIZABETH 11, WILL RECEIVE THIS LETTER AND ATTACHMENTS VIA HARD COPIES.